UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,410	04/19/2004	Takatsugu Doi	119494	9903	
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 03/30/200 RIDGE PLC	7	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1992	P.O. BOX 19928 MARTIN, LAURA E		LAURA E		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2853		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	03/30/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			1 H		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
	10/826,410	DOI, TAKATSUGU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura E. Martin	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lift of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 February 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits i	is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> is/are rejected.		•	·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	dles election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	a/or election requirement.	·			
Application Papers			•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1O-152.	h.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ents have been received in A	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the p	,	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	•		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	 ·			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyano et al. (US 20030064206) in view of Yamashita et al. (US 20030043245) and Takeda et al. (US 20010002415).

Koyano et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claim 1: providing the first liquid on a recording medium and providing the second liquid so as to be in contact with a region where the first liquid has been provided on the recording medium to thereby form an image [0019], wherein a contact angle of the second liquid with respect to the region where the first liquid is provided on plain paper is 60° or more [0022].

As per claims 2 and 19: the first liquid contains at least one additive selected from the group consisting of a silicone type compound and a fluorine type compound [0145].

As per claim 3: wherein the silicone type compound is a silicone type surfactant and the fluorine type compound is a fluorine type surfactant [0145].

As per claims 6 and 18: the first liquid contains at least a water soluble solvent [0028], a surfactant [0058], and water, and the second liquid contains at least a colorant [0028], a water-soluble solvent [0028] and [0030] and water [0175].

As per claim 7: the first liquid contains a colorant [0029].

As per claim 10: the colorant contained in the second liquid is a pigment and the pigment is selected from the group consisting of a pigment dispersible in the second liquid by combining with a polymer dispersant, a self-dispersible pigment, and a pigment coated with a resin [0174].

As per claim 12: the surface tension of the first liquid is 15 mN/m or more and 45 mN/m or less [0022].

As per claim 15: the first liquid is provided on the recording medium by utilizing any one system selected from the group consisting of a thermal ink jet system and a piezo ink jet system, and the second liquid is provided so as to be in contact with the region where the first liquid has been provided on the recording medium to thereby form an image [0077] and [0228].

As per claim 17: at least one of the first and second liquids contains a colorant [0029], an image is formed at least by jetting the first liquid from the recording head onto the recording medium and jetting the second liquid from the recording head so as to be incontact with a region where the first liquid has been provided on the recording medium [0018-0019] and [0022], a contact angle of the second liquid with respect to the region where the first liquid is provided on plain paper is 60° or more [0022].

Koyano et al. does not disclose the following claim limitations:

Application/Control Number: 10/826,410

Art Unit: 2853

As per claims 1 and 17: a contact angle of the second liquid with respect to the plain paper is less than 85° and the first liquid is color ink and the second liquid is black ink.

As per claim 9: the colorant contained in the first liquid is a dye.

As per claim 14: the viscosity of each of the first and second liquids is 1.2 mPa*s or more and 6.0 mPa*s or less.

Yamashita et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claims 1 and 17: a contact angle of the second liquid with respect to the plain paper is less than 85° [0086].

As per claim 9: the colorant contained in the first liquid is a dye [0077-0078].

As per claim 14: the viscosity of each of the first and second liquids is 1.2 mPa*s or more and 6.0 mPa*s or less [0103].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink taught by Koyano et al. with the disclosure of Yamashita et al. in order to reduce bleeding and provide a higher quality image.

Takeda et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claims 1 and 17: the first liquid is color ink and the second liquid is black ink (the coating solutions have a colorant in them [0135]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink and method of Koyano et al. with the disclosure of Takeda et al. in order to provided good coloring power.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,410

Art Unit: 2853

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyano et al. (US 20030064206), Yamashita et al. (US 20030043245) and Takeda et al. (US 20010002415) and further in view of Takao (US 20020077383).

Koyano et al. as modified discloses the following claim limitations:

The ink recording method of claim 2.

Koyano et al. as modified does not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claim 4: the weight average molecular weight of the silicone type compound or fluorine type compound is 3,000 or more.

As per claim 5: an acid value of the silicone type compound or fluorine type compound is 20 mg KOH/g or more and 250 mg KOH or less.

Takao discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claim 4: the weight average molecular weight of the silicone type compound or fluorine type compound is 3,000 or more [0031].

As per claim 5: an acid value of the silicone type compound or fluorine type compound is 20 mg KOH/g or more and 250 mg KOH or less [0030].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method taught by Koyano et al. as modified with the disclosure of Takao in order to create a more stable ink product.

Claims 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyano et al. (US 20030064206), Yamashita et al. (US 20030043245) and Takeda et al. (US 20010002415) and further in view of Koga et al. (US 20030234846).

Art Unit: 2853

Koyano et al. as modified discloses the following claim limitations:

The ink recording method of claim 1 and the recording device of claim 17.

Page 6

Koyano et al. as modified does not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 11 and 20: a number of coarse particles having a particle diameter of 0.5 micrometers or more which are contained in a mixed solution prepared by mixing

the first and second liquids is 100,000/microliter or less.

Koga et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claims 11 and 20: a number of coarse particles having a particle diameter of 0.5 micrometers or more which are contained in a mixed solution prepared by mixing

the first and second liquids is 100,000/microliter or less [0104].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method taught by Koyano et al. as modified with the disclosure of Koga et al. to reduce clogging.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyano et al. (US 20030064206), Yamashita et al. (US 20030043245) and Takeda et al. (US 20010002415) and further in view of Oshima (US 20020058729).

Koyano et al. as modified discloses the following claim limitations:

The ink recording method of claim 1.

Koyano et al. as modified does not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claim 13: the surface tension of the second liquid is 15 mN/m or more and 60 mN/m or less.

Art Unit: 2853

Oshima discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claim 13: the surface tension of the second liquid is 15 mN/m or more and

60 mN/m or less [0006].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify the ink taught by Koyano et al. with the disclosure of Oshimia in

order to increase permeability.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyano

et al. (US 20030064206), Yamashita et al. (US 20030043245) and Takeda et al. (US

20010002415) and further in view of Maze et al. (US 20010008411).

Koyano et al. as modified discloses the following claim limitations:

The ink recording method of claim 6.

Koyano et al. as modified does not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claim 16: the second liquid is provided on the recording medium in a liquid

droplet state, and weight of one droplet of the second liquid is 25 ng or less.

Maze et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claim 16: the second liquid is provided on the recording medium in a liquid

droplet state, and weight of one droplet of the second liquid is 25 ng or less [0032].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify the ink taught by Koyano et al. as modified with the disclosure of

Maze et al. in order to print a high quality image.

Art Unit: 2853

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura E. Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

3/27/07

Laura E. Martin

MANISH S. SHAFI PRIMARY EXAMINER